		Print		Save
		RECEIPT NUM	IBER:	ž
		47-02/26/2025-	·010	
		STATE CLEAR	INGHOUSE NUI	MBER (If applicable)
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.				
	EAD AGENCY EMAIL		DATE	
SISKIYOU RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT			02/26/2025	
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING			DOCUMENT	NUMBER
SISKIYOU COUNTY			2025-47-010	
PROJECT TITLE	-			
CS/WCB ROOTS PROGRAM: WLDLIFE HABITAT AND COMMUNITY	RESILIENCE ON WORK	ING LANDS (#1	40)	
PROJECT APPLICANT NAME	PROJECT APPLICANT EI	MAIL	PHONE NUM	BER
SISKIYOU RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT				9.53
PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS C	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	
42N, 9W SEC. 23, 24, 25, 26	ETNA	CA	96027	
PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)				
☐ Local Public Agency ☐ School District ☒	Other Special District	State A	Agency	Private Entity
CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:		. 4 400 50 -		
☐ Environmental Impact Report (EIR)				
☐ Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND)				
☐ Certified Regulatory Program (CRP) document - payment due dire	ectly to CDFW	\$ 1,401.75 \$	-	
■ Exempt from fee				
Notice of Exemption (attach)				
☐ CDFW No Effect Determination (attach) ☐ Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy)				
Tee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy)				
☐ Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources C	ontrol Board only)	s 850.00 <sup>\$</sup>	•	
County documentary handling fee				
Other		\$ 50.00		
PAYMENT METHOD:				
☐ Cash ☐ Credit ☒ Check ☐ Other 1003	TOTAL R	ECEIVED \$	-	50.00
	OF FILING PRINTED NA	AME AND TITLE		
ENDORSED-D. BROOKS  Dana Br	ooks Deputy Clerk			
Dania Si				

#### NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

To:

Office of Planning and Research

P.O. Box 3044, Room 113 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 From: Siskiyou Resource Conservation District

P.O. Box 268 Etna, CA 96027

Siskiyou County

County Clerk Siskiyou County 311 Main Yreka, CA 96097 FEB 26 2025

BY: LAURA BYNUM, CLERK

Deputy Clerk

Title: CS/WCB Roots Program: Wildlife Habitat and Community Resilience on Working Lands (#140)

Applicant: Siskiyou Resource Conservation District Project Location: 42N, 9W Sec. 23, 24, 25, 26

City: Etna, CA County: Siskiyou

<u>Description of Nature, Purpose, and Beneficiaries of Project:</u> The project consists of an upland tree/shrub planting, the installation of wildlife structures, and noxious weed abatement. The planting will consist of native upland trees and shrubs, irrigated by above-ground pipe, and protected from browsing by wire fencing. Wildlife structures will include raptor perches, wood duck boxes, songbird boxes, barn owl boxes, and bat boxes. These structures will be situated in locations that will enhance foraging and nesting opportunities for the target species. Noxious weed abatement will consist of the manual removal of dyers wood and starthistle from a specified area.

The overall goal of the project is to enhance habitat in a corridor that links the Scott River, the valley floor, and the uplands to the east.

<u>Public Agency Approving and Carrying Out Project</u>: Siskiyou Resource Conservation District (approving), Point Blue Conservation Science (implementation).

#### Exempt Status:

- Class 4 CCR § 15304)
- Class 33: Small Habitat Restoration Projects (CCR § 15333)

Reasons Project is Exempt: Additional environmental analysis was conducted by PBCS Biologist/Project Specialist regarding proposed project effects on rare, threatened and endangered plants; threatened, endangered and special status wildlife species; and cultural resources. PBCS has reviewed these reports and determined that the project's implementation will result in multiple benefits, including habitat improvement for wildlife and pollinators, fuel reduction, and reduction in noxious invasive species. There will be no significant adverse impacts on endangered, rare, or threatened species or their habitats. There will be no significant adverse impacts to soils, hydrology, or water quality. There are no hazardous materials at or around the project site. The project will avoid all archeological resource sites. The project will not result in cumulatively significant impacts. The project will have no significant adverse effect on the environment.

Lead Agency Contact Person: Evan Senf (530) 467-3975.

Signature

ritle: Praject Coordinator

Date: 0/26/2025



### Point Blue Conservation Science Environmental Review Report for an Exempt Project

Note: This report form is intended for use by Point Blue Conservation Science (PBCS) staff to document a limited environmental impact analysis supporting the filing of a Notice of Exemption (NOE) document for a proposed PBCS Roots program project. Although the project appears to fit within the descriptions for allowable Categorical Exemptions, this report presents PBCS's review for possible "Exceptions" that would preclude finding the project to be categorically exempt as discussed in CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2. This report will be filed with the CEQA administrative record for this project to document the environmental impact analysis conducted by PBCS and in support of final CEQA determination by the lead filing agency.

Author(s): Andrew Bertotti
Title: Biologist
Address: 3820 Cypress Dr. Suite 11, Petaluma, CA 94954
Phone: (530) 249-3787
Email: abertotti@pointblue.org
Project Name: PBCS/WCB Roots Program: Wildlife Habitat and Community Resilience on Working Lands
Project Number: Roots 140
Program Type: Habitat enhancement and restoration
County: Siskiyou
Legal Location: Township 42N Range 9W Section(s) 23, 24, 25, 26
USGS 7.5' Quad: McConaughy Gulch
Attachment(s):
✓ Project Topographic Location Map  Other:
Project Detail Map  Other:
CDFW RareFind Report
✓ CNDDB Map
Other Dall's Assembly Descriped:
Tither Pithic Agency Review/Permit Reduired.
Other Public Agency Review/Permit Required:  Would the project result-in:  YES  NO
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)  Conversion of timberland (CAL FIRE - Conversion Permit or Exemption)  Demolition (Local Air District - Demolition Permit)  Grading/excavating soil disturbance over 1 acre (RWQCB - SWPPP)  Fill of possible wetlands (404 Permit - USACE)
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)  Conversion of timberland (CAL FIRE - Conversion Permit or Exemption)  Demolition (Local Air District - Demolition Permit)  Grading/excavating soil disturbance over 1 acre (RWQCB - SWPPP)  Fill of possible wetlands (404 Permit - USACE)
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)  Conversion of timberland (CAL FIRE - Conversion Permit or Exemption)  Demolition (Local Air District - Demolition Permit)  Grading/excavating soil disturbance over 1 acre (RWQCB - SWPPP)  Fill of possible wetlands (404 Permit - USACE)  Discharge/placement of dredged/fill material into WOTUS (404 Permit - USACE and
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)  Conversion of timberland (CAL FIRE - Conversion Permit or Exemption)  Demolition (Local Air District - Demolition Permit)  Grading/excavating soil disturbance over 1 acre (RWQCB - SWPPP)  Fill of possible wetlands (404 Permit - USACE)  Discharge/placement of dredged/fill material into WOTUS (404 Permit - USACE and 401 Permit - WQCB)
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)  Conversion of timberland (CAL FIRE - Conversion Permit or Exemption)  Demolition (Local Air District - Demolition Permit)  Grading/excavating soil disturbance over 1 acre (RWQCB - SWPPP)  Fill of possible wetlands (404 Permit - USACE)  Discharge/placement of dredged/fill material into WOTUS (404 Permit - USACE and
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)  Conversion of timberland (CAL FIRE - Conversion Permit or Exemption)  Demolition (Local Air District - Demolition Permit)  Grading/excavating soil disturbance over 1 acre (RWQCB - SWPPP)  Fill of possible wetlands (404 Permit - USACE)  Discharge/placement of dredged/fill material into WOTUS (404 Permit - USACE and 401 Permit - WQCB)
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)  Conversion of timberland (CAL FIRE - Conversion Permit or Exemption)  Demolition (Local Air District - Demolition Permit)  Grading/excavating soil disturbance over 1 acre (RWQCB - SWPPP)  Fill of possible wetlands (404 Permit - USACE)  Discharge/placement of dredged/fill material into WOTUS (404 Permit - USACE and 401 Permit - WQCB)
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)  Conversion of timberland (CAL FIRE - Conversion Permit or Exemption)  Demolition (Local Air District - Demolition Permit)  Grading/excavating soil disturbance over 1 acre (RWQCB - SWPPP)  Fill of possible wetlands (404 Permit - USACE)  Discharge/placement of dredged/fill material into WOTUS (404 Permit - USACE and 401 Permit - WQCB)
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)  Conversion of timberland (CAL FIRE - Conversion Permit or Exemption)  Demolition (Local Air District - Demolition Permit)  Grading/excavating soil disturbance over 1 acre (RWQCB - SWPPP)  Fill of possible wetlands (404 Permit - USACE)  Discharge/placement of dredged/fill material into WOTUS (404 Permit - USACE and 401 Permit - WQCB)
Would the project result-in:  Alterations to a watercourse (DFW - Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement)  Conversion of timberland (CAL FIRE - Conversion Permit or Exemption)  Demolition (Local Air District - Demolition Permit)  Grading/excavating soil disturbance over 1 acre (RWQCB - SWPPP)  Fill of possible wetlands (404 Permit - USACE)  Discharge/placement of dredged/fill material into WOTUS (404 Permit - USACE and 401 Permit - WQCB)

Project Description and Environmental Setting (Describe the project activities, project site and its surroundings, its location, and the environmental setting):

### **Proposed Project Location**

The proposed location is a ranch near Etna, in the Scott Valley of western Siskiyou County. The project site is on the valley bottome on the east side of the Scott River.

### Existing Condition/Need for Proposed Project

Biodiversity conservation cannot be achieved through land preservation alone. Stewardship of California's working landscapes, which comprise 40% of California, for wildlife, fish, pollinator, and other biodiversity benefits is critical to stabilizing wildlife populations and preventing extinction. This project will improve wildlife habitat and contribute to the landscape goal of biodiversity conservation.

#### **Proposed Action**

Point Blue Conservation Science (PBCS) received a block grant from the Wildlife Conservation Board (WCB) for a collaborative project that will enable PBCS staff from across California to work with private landowners with the overall goal to co-create, manage, and implement projects that will maximize benefits of working landscapes to wildlife, fish, and pollinators.

The project consists of an upland tree/shrub planting, the installation of wildlife structures, and noxious weed abatement. The planting will consist of native upland trees and shrubs, irrigated by above-ground pipe, and protected from browsing by wire fencing. Wildlife structures will include raptor perches, wood duck boxes, songbird boxes, barn owl boxes, and bat boxes. These structures will be situated in locations that will enhance foraging and nesting opportunities for the target species. Noxious weed abatement will consist of the manual removal of dyers woad and starthistle from a specified area.

The overall goal of the project is to enhance habitat in a corridor that links the Scott River, the valley floor, and the uplands to the east.

Total project area: 6.97 acres.

Area of soil disturbance: 0.05 acres.

Proposed project activities include:

Establishing 100 trees and 200 shrubs and plant protection to deter browsing

Installing 2,200 feet of irrigation line to irrigate planting

Installing 2 barn owl boxes on ranch buildings

Installing 2 free-standing raptor perches

Installing 8 free-standing small bird nest boxes

Installing 3 free-standing wood duck boxes

Installing 2 bat houses; one free-standing, one mounted to ranch building

Manual removal of dyers woad and yellow starthistle from 1.5 acres

### **Environmental Impact Analysis**

Provide detail only if saying yes.

Aesthetics
☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
☐ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
The existing visual character of project sites and surroundings is expected to improve environmental conditions that favor native flora and fauna, creating better opportunities to view native plants and wildlife. PBCS has determined that the project will not cause adverse impacts to aesthetic values.
Agriculture and Forest Resources  ☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
I'ms topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided each
☐ Yes ☑No Would any trees be felled? If yes, discuss protection of nesting birds and compliance with
Forestry Practice Rules.
☐ Yes ☑ No Would the project convert any prime or unique farmland?
☐ Yes ☑ No Would the project result in the conversion of forest land or timberland to non-forest use?
This project will not convert any agriculture, rangeland, or forest resources or cause significant potential impacts to these resources. PBCS has determined that the project will not cause adverse impacts to agriculture, rangeland, or forest resources.
Project treatment is expected to benefit forest and rangeland by promoting native grasses and forbs and reducing non-native invasive plant species.  Project treatments are expected to benefit agricultural (crop) resources by promoting pollinators.
Air Quality  This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
PBCS has determined that, overall, the effect of the project on air quality will be less than significant.
The project will involve the use of equipment and will not include burning of vegetation/biomass. A minor amount of dust and fumes will be created by running equipment and power tools, and by accessing the project areas by vehicle.

č	PBCS/WCB Roots Program: Wildlife Habitat and Community Resilience on Working Lands Environmental Review Report Supporting an Exempt Project
:	
,	
	Biological Resources
	☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
	☑ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
	☐ Yes ☒ No Will the project potentially affect biological resources?
	∑ Yes    ☐ No
	☐ Yes ☑ No Was a biological survey of the project area completed? Results discussed below.
	1 es [V] 100 Was a biological survey of the project area completed. Results discussed selevi-
	An assessment of threatened, endangered, rare, and special-status wildlife species, plant species, and
	natural communities occurring or potentially occurring in the project area was conducted. This
	assessment included a records search for threatened, endangered, rare, and special status species
	and communities using the California Department of Fish and Wildlife's California Natural Diversity
	Database (CNDDB & Spotted Owl Observation Database - BIOS; CDFW 2023), These record searches covered a 3-mile buffer around the proposed project location.
	covered a 3-mile buffer around the proposed project location.
1	The overall goal of the project is habitat enhancement and restoration in support of native pollinator and
	wildlife species PRCS has determined that the project, as designed, will provide a net benefit for native
	pollinators, wildlife, plant species and natural communities and that there will be no significant adverse
	impacts of the project on these biological resources.
	A number of special-status wildlife and plant species and the habitats that support them, and other
	special-status natural communities, have been detected within a 3-mile buffer of the proposed project
	and may occur or have the potential to occur in the project treatment areas (See Attachment A: Special Status Species Records Search Results). These species and the operational measures that will protect
	them from significant impacts are discussed below.
ĺ	Wildlife the project
	If any special-status wildlife species are detected prior to or during project implementation, the project biologist and/or CDFW will be consulted to determine an appropriate avoidance strategy. If any bird
	nests, bat roosting or nursery sites are located, they will be protected by a buffer to be determined in
	consultation with the project biologist. To the extent feasible, disturbance of potential dens of large or
4	mis-sized mammals will be avoided. For any work near streams, wetlands, riparian areas, or vernal
٠	pools, BMPs will be employed to ensure no impacts to these resources.
•	

aral Resources us topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further. This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:  Solve No Was a current archaeological records check completed?  The trent archaeological records check will not be performed prior to preparation of a CEQA Notice of applementation of any treatments with the potential for ground disturbance or other potential	
Natural Communities The proposed project will be implemented in a manner that minimiz effects are beneficial to designated critical habitat.	es adverse effects and overall project
	*
Cultural Resources	
☑ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the	ne assessment are provided below:
☐ Yes ☐ No Was a current archaeological records check comp	oleted?
Exemption However, site-specific archaeological records	check will be completed prior
☐ Yes ☑ No Was a Staff or Contract Archaeologist consulted?	?
Based on results of site-specific archaeological records check, a c consulted to ensure cultural resources and historic properties are planning.	ontract Archaeologist will be appropriately considered in
☐ Yes ☐ Was an archaeological survey of the project area	completed?
Based on results of site-specific archaeological records check, an Archaeologist, a survey of the specific project sites prior to any gr be completed.	d in consultation with contract ound-disturbing activities will
☐ Yes ☐ No Will the project affect any historic buildings or an	chaeological site?
If a cultural resource site is discovered within a project area during Project activities within 100 feet of the newly discovered cultural result the a contract Archaeologist can be contacted and Project activities may continue outside this 100-foot perimet avoidance process. 2. If the newly discovered site has be project operations, a contract Archaeologist will be notified to a	esource should be immediately haited ensure avoidance of the site. Her during the identification and the negatively impacted by

measures and any necessary remediation. 3. The contract Archaeologist will ensure the newly discovered site is recorded and its discovery and protection measures are documented in the project files. 4. If the newly discovered site is a Native American Archaeological or tribal cultural resource (defined in the Forest Practice Rules and CEQA), the contract Archaeologist will notify the appropriate Native American tribal group and the NAHC, if appropriate.
PBCS has determined that there will be no significant adverse impacts of the project on historic, archaeological, or cultural resources.
Geology and Soils  This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
X  This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided over
Project implementation will avoid any unstable land features that are identified in the project area. Proposed treatments will not significantly impair soil quality. Field vehicles and equipment will not be used on soils when the moisture content is at/above field capacity, and will not be allowed within 50 feet of watercourses. This will enable existing buffer strips of vegetation to protect watercourses from soil erosion. PBCS has determined that there will be no significant adverse impacts of the project on geology or soils.
Greenhouse Gas Emissions
This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
☐ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below
☐ Yes ☐ No Would the project generate significant greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions?
The project will generate a small amount of GHG emissions from the use of gas-powered equipment, which could include field vehicles, gas-powered hand tools, and larger speciality equipment. Local air quality regulations will be followed to minimize emissions. PBCS has determined that this project, as proposed, will have no significant adverse impact on the annual release of GHGs.
☐ Yes ☒ No Would these GHG emissions result in a significant impact on the environment?
No. See above.
NO. GES ADOVG.

:	PBCS/WCB Roots Program: Wildlife Habitat and Community Resilience on Working Lands Environmental Review Report Supporting an Exempt Project
	☐ Yes ☐ No Would the project conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the
	purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?
	No, this project does not conflict with the State of California's plan to reduce atmospheric carbon or greenhouse gas emissions.
ı	
1	Hazards and Hazardous Materials
	☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
	This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
	Gasoline and diesel fuel will be used for vehicles, equipment and handheld equipment. Refueling of vehicles and equipment shall occur on existing roads to the extent feasible, and at least 100 feet from watercourses. PBCS has determined that project, if implemented as proposed, will not result in significant adverse impacts regarding hazards and hazardous materials.
ı	
Į	
	Hydrology and Water Quality
1	☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
	☐ Yes ☑ No Will the project potentially affect any watercourse or body of water?
	☑ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
	Proposed treatments will not significantly impair hydrology or water quality. Vehicles and equipment will only be allowed on established roads to the extent feasible, and will not be used on soils when the moisture content is at/above field capacity, and will not be allowed within 50 feet of watercourses. All staging areas for vehicles and equipment shall avoid watercourses and wetland, riparian, and stream channel habitats. Refueling of vehicles and equipment shall occur on existing roads to the extent feasible, and at least 100 feet from watercourses. This will enable existing buffer strips of vegetation to protect watercourses from soil erosion. PBCS has determined that there will be no significant adverse impacts to hydrology or water quality.
L	
	Land Use and Planning  ☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
	EN THE STREET WAS A PART OF THE STREET WAS A P
	This project does not conflict with any land use or planning or change the land use designation for any parcel.
	☐ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:

Mineral Resources  ☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
There are no known or related mineral resources or extraction as part of this project, and the project does not restrict access for any future mineral extraction activities.
☐ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
Noise  ☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.  ☐ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
The project will include the operation of chainsaws, mechanized equipment, and vehicles which will produce noise that may be audible to landowners near the project areas. The additional noise will be limited to the period of project implementation, which will be of short duration. It is PBCS's determination that the projects will not cause significant adverse noise levels.
Population and Housing  ☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
This project has no applicability to population and housing issues for the project location.
☐ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
Public Services  ☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
☐ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
This project is intended to enhance and restore habitat for wildlife and pollinators, and will not affect public services.
Recreation
☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.
☐ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided below:
The existing visual character of project sites and surroundings is expected to improve environmental conditions that favor native flora and fauna, creating better opportunities to view native plants and wildlife, and thus improving recreational experiences. PBCS has determined that the project will not cause adverse impacts to recreation.

☐ This topic does not apply to a project of this type and was not evaluated further.  ☐ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided  Minimal increases in traffic along adjacent public roads could occur as a result of requipment operators, and hand crews accessing project areas. This increase in traffic will insignificant as the regional roads have been designed to accommodate the anticipal traffic. As such, the project as proposed will not cause significant changes transportation traffic patterns and frequencies.	project be mind ated le	
Minimal increases in traffic along adjacent public roads could occur as a result of pequipment operators, and hand crews accessing project areas. This increase in traffic will be insignificant as the regional roads have been designed to accommodate the anticipal traffic. As such, the project as proposed will not cause significant changes	project be mind ated le	
equipment operators, and hand crews accessing project areas. This increase in traffic will transignificant as the regional roads have been designed to accommodate the anticipal traffic. As such, the project as proposed will not cause significant changes	be mind ated le	ctoff
		or and vel of
TIONS 16 Sections		
Utilities and Service Systems		
☐ This topic could apply to a project of this type, and results of the assessment are provided	below:	0.00
Project Design Features That Avoid Environmental Impacts:		
Adverse environmental impacts have been avoided through careful review of site condit to treatment method determination. Site soils, slope, habitat, and water resources were those examined during project design and layout. Equipment has been minimized on slopes over a excluded from watercourses to provide for soil and water resource protection, as well as p sensitive habitats and organisms. All water features have been afforded protection from equipment of watercourse protection zones. Sensitive plants and	rougnly 35% and protection quipmen	y d on of nt
have been identified during the site-specific scoping process and the project as designed w adversely affect them. Sensitive cultural resources will be surveyed for prior to implementation project has been designed to have no impact on them.	/ill not	te
have been identified during the site-specific scoping process and the project as designed waterselv affect them. Sensitive cultural resources will be surveyed for prior to implementation	/ill not	te
have been identified during the site-specific scoping process and the project as designed w adversely affect them. Sensitive cultural resources will be surveyed for prior to implementation project has been designed to have no impact on them.	on and	the
have been identified during the site-specific scoping process and the project as designed w adversely affect them. Sensitive cultural resources will be surveyed for prior to implementation project has been designed to have no impact on them.  Mandatory Findings of Significance:	/ill not	te
have been identified during the site-specific scoping process and the project as designed w adversely affect them. Sensitive cultural resources will be surveyed for prior to implementation project has been designed to have no impact on them.	YES	the
have been identified during the site-specific scoping process and the project as designed w adversely affect them. Sensitive cultural resources will be surveyed for prior to implementation project has been designed to have no impact on them.  Mandatory Findings of Significance:  (a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal,	YES	the NO

Justification for Use of a Categorical Exemption (discuss why the project is exempt, cite exemption number(s), and describe how the project fits the class): Based on no effects, negative, or cumulative impacts to natural resources, and a greenhouse gas benefit, this project fits within a Categorical Exemption.
This review of the Class 4 exemption (CCR Section §15304) covers minor alterations to land. PBCS has determined that the objectives of enhancement and restoration of wildlife and pollinator habitat, and the implementation activities as designed for this project, will result in minor alterations to land and vegetation, and therefore fit within the CCR Section 15304 exemptions.
This review of the Class 33 exemption (CCR Section §15333) covers small habitat restoration projects. PBCS has determined that the objectives of enhancement and restoration of wildlife and pollinator habitat, and the implementation activities as designed for this project, will result in the maintenance, restoration, enhancement, or protection of habitat for fish, plants or wildlife not to exceed five acres in size.
Additional environmental analysis was conducted by PBCS Biologist/Project Specialist regarding proposed project effects on rare, threatened and endangered plants; threatened, endangered and special status wildlife species; and cultural resources. PBCS has reviewed these reports and determined that the project's implementation will result in multiple benefits, including habitat improvement for wildlife and pollinators, fuel reduction, and reduction in noxious invasive species. There will be no significant adverse impacts on endangered, rare, or threatened species or their habitats. There will be no significant adverse impacts to soils, hydrology, or water quality. There are no hazardous materials at or around the project site. The project will avoid all archeological resource sites. The project will not result in cumulatively significant impacts. The project will have no significant adverse effect on the environment.
Conclusion:
Conclusion.
After assessing potential environmental impacts and evaluating the descriptions for the various classes of Categorical Exemptions to CEQA, PBCS has determined that the project fits within one or more of the exemption classes. PBCS has also determined that no exceptions exist which would preclude the use of this exemption, such as: (a) sensitive location, (b) cumulative impact, (c) significant effects on the environment, (d) impacts to scenic highways, (e) activities within a hazardous waste site, and (f) significant adverse change to the significance of any historical resource. A Notice of Exemption will be filed with the county Clerk-Recorder and with the State Clearinghouse.
After assessing potential environmental impacts and evaluating the description for the various classes of Categorical Exemptions to CEQA, PBCS has determined that the project does not fit within the description for the various exemption classes or has found that exceptions exist at the project site which precludes the use of a Categorical Exemption for this project. Additional environmental review will be conducted and the appropriate CEQA document used may be a Negative Declaration or a Mitigated Negative Declaration.

### REFERENCES:

CDFW. 2023. Biogeographic Information and Observation System (BIOS). California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Accessed on 15-Jan-2025 from: http://map.dfg.ca.gov/rarefind/view/RareFind.aspx.

NRCS. 2023. Web Soil Survey. Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Accessed on 2 March 2023: <a href="https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm">https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm</a>.

### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF

## FISH and WILDLIFE

# RareFind

Query Summary: BIOS Selection

Print Close

	(a) t			CI	IDDB Elem	ent Que	y Results					
Scientific Name	Common Name	Taxonomic Group	Element Code		Returned Occs		• •••	Global Rank	State Rank	CA Rare Plant Rank	Other Status	Habitats
Falco mexicanus	prairie falcon	Birds	ABNKD06090	451	1 : ;	None	None	G5	S4	null .	CDFW_WL-Watch List, IUCN_LC- Least Concern	Great Basin grassland, Great Basin scrub, Mojavean desert scrub Sonoran desert scrub
	• :											Valley & foothill grassland Riparian
Riparia riparia	bank swallow	Birds ·	ABPAU08010	299 ·	2	None	Threatened	G5	S3 <sub>.</sub>	null	BLM_S-Sensitive, IUCN_LC-Least Concern	scrub, Riparian woodland
Balsamorhiza lanata	woolly balsamroot	Dicots	PDAST11047	44	2	None	None	G3	S3	1B,2	BLM_S-Sensitive, SB_CalBG/RSABG- California/Rancho Santa Ana Botanic Garden	Cismontane woodland
Chaenactis suffrutescens	Shasta chaenactis	Dicots	PDAST200H0	38	1	None	None	G2G3	S2S3	1B.3	BLM_S-Sensitive, SB_BerrySB-Berry Seed Bank, USFS_S-Sensitive	Lower montane coniferous forest, Ultramafic, Upper montane coniferous forest
Eriogonum umbellatum var. lautum	Scott Valley buckwheat	Dicots	PDPGN086UX	2	.1	None	None	G5T1	S1	1B.1	SB_UCSC-UC Santa Cruz	Cismontane woodland, Lower montane coniferous forest
Galium serpenticum ssp. scotticum	Scott Mountain bedstraw	Dicots	PDRUB0N1Y6	52	1	None	None	G4G5T2	S2	1B.2	BLM_S-Sensitive, SB_CalBG/RSABG- California/Rancho Santa Ana Botanic Garden	Lower montane coniferous forest, Ultramafic
٠			:.			2						Closed-cone coniferous forest, Lower montane coniferous forest,
Phacelia greenei	Scott Valley phacelia	Dicots	PDHYD0C1V0	24	3	None	None .	G2	S2 .	1B.2	BLM_S-Sensitive, USFS_S-Sensitive	Subalpine coniferous forest, Ultramafic,
								:	×			Upper montane coniferous forest
Sidalcea	coast	Dicots	PDMAL110K9	19.	1	None	None	G5T1	S1	1B.2	BLM_S-Sensitive	Lower montane coniferous forest, Méadow &
oregana ssp. eximia	checkerbloom	DICOIS	LDIMALITIONS	.15		None			, .			seep, North coast coniferous forest, Wetland

3/23, 12.03 FT	VI.			,				•		V.		
Botrychium pinnatum	northwestern moonwort	Ferns	PPOPH010V0	8	1	None	None	<b>G</b> 5	S1	2B.3	USFS_S-Sensitive	Lower montane coniferous forest, Meadow & seep, Upper montane coniferous forest
Bombus crotchii	Crotch's, bumble bee	Insects	IIHYM24480	483	1	None	Candidate Endangered	G2	S2	null	IUCN_EN- Endangered	null
Bombus occidentalis	western bumble bee	Insects	IIHYM24252	306	1	None	Candidate Endangered	G3	S1	null	IUCN_VU- Vulnerable, USFS_S-Sensitive	null
Erethizon dorsatum	North American porcupine	Mammals	AMAFJ01010	523	2	None	None	<b>G</b> 5	S3	null	IUCN_LC-Least Concern	Broadleaved upland forest, Cismontane woodland, Closed-cone coniferous forest, Lower montane coniferous forest, North coast coniferous forest, Upper montane coniferous forest to the coniferous forest to the coniferous forest

# Project Detail Map

Project: Roots 140 USGS Quad: MCCONAUGHY GULCH Location: T 42N R 9W Section 23, 24, 25, 26

County: SISKIYOU

Point Blue Conservation Science WCB Roots Program Roots Liaison: Andrew Bertotti

Date: 02/12/2024



### Legend

Type ·

Barn Owl box

Bird Nest Box w/pole

Raptor perch

Wood Duck Box w/pole

Noxious Weed Abatement

Approx Scale: 1:12,182 when printed on 8-1/2 x 11 paper 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4

Planting Irrigation Line

0. 0.05 0.1

Miles


## **Project Location Map**

Project: Roots 140
USGS Quad: MCCONAUGHY GULCH
Location: T 42N R 9W Section 23, 24, 25, 26
APN(s): 023-071-400, 023-071-410, 023-071-420, 023-080-050, 023-080-370, 023-090-040, 023-090-480, 023-090-570

Point Blue Conservation Science WCB Roots Program<sup>®</sup>

Roots Liaison: Andrew Bertotti

Date: 02/12/2024





Approx Scale 1:100,000 when printed on 8-1/2 x 11 paper

Miles

## Project Area Map for Cultural Resources Review

Project: Roots 140

USGS Quad: MCCONAUGHY GULCH

Location: T 42N R 9W Section 23, 24, 25, 26

County: SISKIYOU

Point Blue Conservation Science

WCB Roots Program

Roots Liaison: ANDREW BERTOTTI

Date: 10/12/2025



Legend

Total Project Area Acres: 6.97

Project\_Area

Approx Scale 1:24,000 when printed on 8-1/2 x 11 paper

0.13 0.25

0.5

0.75

Miles

### **CNDDB Map**

Project: Roots 140

USGS Quad: MCCONAUGHY GULCH


Location: T 42N R 9W Section 23, 24, 25, 26

County: SISKIYOU

Point Blue Conservation Science WCB Roots Program

Roots Liaison: ANDREW BERTOTTI

Date: 10/12/2025



### Spotted Owl Observations

Type

Barn Owl box

■ Bird Nest Box w/pole

- Raptor perch
- Wood Duck Box w/pole
- Noxious Weed .
  Abatement
- Planting
- .

Irrigation Line
CNDDB\_3mi\_Roo

CNAME

- ☐ Crotch bumble bee
- North American porcupine
- Scott Mountain bedstraw
- Scott Valley buckwheat
- Scott Valley phacella
- Shasta chaenactis
- bank swallow
- ☐ coast checkerbloom
- northwestern moonwort
- prairie falcon
- western bumble bee
- woolly balsamroot

### CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL FEE FORM

On 2/26/267 (Date)	<u>15,                                     </u>	Evan Sien-P (Name)	filed an application
for development with	n the	SISKIYOU RCD (Name of City)	Before the application
is accepted as comple	ete for	processing, fees in the following amount(s) n	nust be deposited with
the County Clerk.			£
	区	Clerk Processing Fee	\$50.00
		Negative Declaration	\$2,968.75*
		EIR	\$4,123.50
s.,	ĭ\(\text{\omega}\)	Categorically Exempt	\$0.00
		Statutorily Exempt	\$0.00
		Fee Exemption issued by the DFG	\$0.00 ·
×		Other	\$
No project shall be operative, vested or final until the required fee is paid. <i>Public Resources Code</i> §21089 (b)			
On Feb. 26, 25	, Se	KIYOU RCD deposited \$	50,00
(Date) (Name) ENDORSED-D. BROOKS			
with the Siskiyou County Clerk (Attest)			
Application No. N/A:  Receipt # 2025803991/  (To be completed when application is received for processing)  47-02/26/2025-010			
* It it is determined hi	v Sickin	you County that the fee required for a Negative	e Declaration does not

<sup>\*</sup> If it is determined by Siskiyou County that the fee required for a Negative Declaration does not apply to your project a refund will be granted.

2025 Fee.Form